UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/580,329	05/24/2006	Qiu-Ping Qin	OHMAN-010	5669
32954 JAMES C. LYI	7590 12/16/200 OON	EXAMINER		
100 DAINGER		GRUN, JAMES LESLIE		
SUITE 100 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1641	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/16/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/580,329	QIN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	JAMES L. GRUN	1641			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) ■ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 S 2a) ■ This action is FINAL. 2b) ■ This 3) ■ Since this application is in condition for allowarclosed in accordance with the practice under B	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 17-22,25-28,30,33 and 34 is/are pend 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 17-22,25-28,30,33 and 34 is/are rejected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or are subject to restriction and/or are subjected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corrections.	wn from consideration. cted. or election requirement. er. cepted or b) □ objected to by the length of the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/18/08.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	nte			

Art Unit: 1641

The amendment filed 18 September 2008 is acknowledged and has been entered.

Claims 33 and 34 are newly added. Claims 1-16, 23, 24, 29, 31, and 32 have been cancelled. Claims 17-22, 25-28, 30, 33, and 34 remain in the case.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 17-22, 25-28, 30, 33, and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 17 and claims dependent thereupon are method claims and, as such, they should clearly set forth the various method steps in a positive, sequential manner using active tense verbs such as mixing, reacting, and detecting. These claims are indefinite because without any or sufficient active, positive steps delimiting how the method is actually practiced it is unclear what method/process applicant is intending to encompass. The claims should also clearly state each component used in the method and the relationship of the various components. With regard to the deficiencies noted above, it is unclear how one performs any measurement of PAPP-A with the method as instantly claimed because merely exposing sample to binder and measuring binder that was knowingly added detects nothing with regard to PAPP-A, free or otherwise. The claims should also conclude with a step relating the method result to the purpose of the method, preferably to the purpose as also set forth in the preamble of the claim. In these claims, "the" difference or bioaffinity reaction lack antecedent basis.

Application/Control Number: 10/580,329

Art Unit: 1641

In claim 22, recitations of "the" signal lack antecedent basis. The interrelationships of the components are further unclear because it is not clear how proMBP is a subunit of a PAPP-A molecule. "Employing" or "using" or variations thereof are not valid method steps.

Page 3

Claim 27 and claims dependent thereupon are method claims and, as such, they should clearly set forth the various method steps in a positive, sequential manner using active tense verbs such as mixing, reacting, and detecting. These claims are indefinite because without any active, positive steps delimiting how the method is actually practiced it is unclear what method/process applicant is intending to encompass. The claims should also clearly state each component used in the method and the relationship of the various components. With regard to the deficiencies noted above, it is unclear how one performs any measurement of PAPP-A with the method as instantly claimed in dependent claim 30 because merely exposing sample to binder and measuring binder that was knowingly added detects nothing with regard to PAPP-A, free or otherwise. The claims should also conclude with a step relating the method result to the purpose of the method, preferably to the purpose as also set forth in the preamble of the claim.

Applicant's arguments filed 18 September 2008 have been fully considered but they are not deemed to be persuasive. Notwithstanding applicant's assertions to the contrary, applicant's amendments have not obviated rejections under this statute for the reasons set forth above.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

⁽b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 1641

Claims 27 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Conover et al. (US 6,500,630) for reasons of record.

Applicant's arguments filed 18 September 2008 have been fully considered but they are not deemed to be persuasive.

Applicant urges that the disclosure of Conover et al. at column 4 could have several interpretations. This is not in dispute. However, notwithstanding applicant's assertions to the contrary, the disclosure of Conover et al. is not limited to the single of the alternative interpretations as argued by applicant in view of the disclosures regarding immunization with uncomplexed PAPP-A and the additional passage noted in the rejection of record at column 6: "Antibodies having affinity for PAPP-A are identified in a positive selection. Antibodies identified in such a selection can be negatively selected against PAPP-A/proMBP, to identify antibodies having specific binding affinity for epitopes of PAPP-A that are not accessible in the specific complex of PAPP-A and proMBP." Applicant's assertions regarding the lack of specificity of an enzymatic antibody capture assay for the detection of free PAPP-A were not found persuasive in view of the above noted teachings regarding antibody selection and the well known inhibition of PAPP-A enzymatic activity when complexed with proMBP (see e.g. cols. 4 and/or 5). The rejection is maintained for the reasons of record.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Overgaard et al. (JBC <u>275</u>: 31128, 2000) teach proMBP as an inhibitor of PAPP-A enzymatic activity.

Art Unit: 1641

Overgaard et al. (WO 00/54806 or US 7,115,382) teach the production of monoclonal antibodies specific for pregnancy-associated plasma protein-A (PAPP-A) not complexed with pro major basic protein (proMBP) and the use of the antibodies for detection of uncomplexed PAPP-A in a sample (in US 7,115,382, see e.g. col. 5 and Claim 1).

Christiansen et al. (Clin. Chem. <u>46</u>: 1099, 200) teach detection of angiotensinogen /proMBP complexes.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James L. Grun, Ph.D., whose telephone number is (571) 272-0821. The examiner can normally be reached on weekdays from 11 a.m. to 7 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Shibuya, SPE, can be contacted at (571) 272-0806.

The phone number for official facsimile transmitted communications to TC 1600, Group 1640, is (571) 273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application, or requests to supply missing elements from Office communications, should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-1600.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/J. L. G./ James L. Grun, Ph.D. Examiner, Art Unit 1641 December 16, 2008 Application/Control Number: 10/580,329 Page 6

Art Unit: 1641

/Ann Y. Lam/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1641 December 11, 2008